## VGFNLM2 DIRECT TAX TEST PAPER - 2 MAY 19 EXAM - HINT-ANSWERS

Division A – Multiple Choice Questions																			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
d	b	d	С	С	d	d	С	С	d	b	С	С	b	b	b		d	С	С
	Division B – Descriptive Questions <u>Answer to Q. No. 1(a) 10 Marks</u>																		
					Parti	cula	Irs						·			`			
Ne	t Prc	ofit a	s pe	r Pro	ofit 8	. Los	s Ac	COL	Int			~				2,0	0,00,0	000	
as	per	Expl	ana	tion	1 to	sec	lion	115.	JB	ollow n <b>the</b>			nts						
-				come		-		```	17,00										_
				outio				`	3,00,	-			2	D,00,C	000				_
Prc	visic	n fo	r de	ferre	ed to	Х								2,00,0					_
Tra							,00,00												
Prc	visic	n fo	r dir	ninu	tion	in th	ne	alue	of in	vestr	nent		3	,00,00	)0				
Div	ider	nd p	aid	or pr	opc	sed													
Prc	pos	ed c	divid	end	e						6,00	,000,							
Pre	fere	nce	divi	den	d						4,00,	.000		10,00	),000,				
Exp	oenc	liture	e to	earr	inc	ome	e ex	emp	ot u/s	10									
Exp	benc	diture	ə 10	ec	arn	agria	cultu	Jral	inco	me	[Exer	npt	u/s	1,00	,000,				
10(	/ -															72	3,00,0	000	
De	prec	iatic	òn											22,00	),000	l			_
	Less Net Profit to be reduced by the following amounts as per Explanation 1 to section 115JB								2,73	,00,0	00								
	nour serve		edite	ed to	o pro	ofit c	and	loss	acco	ount	from	Spec	cial	2,00	,000				
	•		acc	ount 10,00	of r	clud eval	-		-	ciatio ed a		on (i.e.	,	16,00	),000				

9873827301

			11	
Amount credited to profit and loss acc	count from	6,00,000		
revaluation reserve (to the extent of depre	eciation on			
revaluation)				
Brought forward business loss or u	nabsorbed	5,00,000		
deprecation as per books of account, which	never is less			
taken on cumulative basis				
Income exempt u/s 10			4	
Agricultural Income [since it is exempt un	der section	4,00,000	33,00,000	
10(1)]			<b>N</b>	
Book Profit			2,40,00,000	
Computation of tax liability of Phi	Ltd. for A.Y.	2019-20		
18.5% of book profit		44,40,0	00	
Add: Surcharge@7% (since total income > `	1			
crore but less than ` 10 crore)		3,10,800		
	C	47,50,8	00	
Add: HEC @ 4%	190,0321			
Tax liability on book profit under section		49,40,8	32	
115JB				
Total income computed as per the provision	s 1,30,00,000	)		
of the Income-tax Act, 1961				
Tax payable @ 30%		39,00,0	00	
Add: Surcharge@7%		_2,73,0	<u>00</u>	
Add: HEC @ 4%	166,920	41,73,0	00	
Tax Payable as per the Incomestax Act, 1961		43,39,9	20	

# Answer to Q. No. 1(b) 4 Marks

Computation of incerest to be disallowed in the computation of income under the head profits and gains of business or profession of A Ltd.

Particulars	Rs.
EBITDA	10,00,00,000
Inter paid or payable by A Ltd.	9,00,00,000
lower of the following would be disallowed	
Chterest paid or payable in excess 30% of 6,00,00,000	
EBITDA	
- interest paid or payable to non-resident AE	
9,00,00,000	
Interest to be disallowed as deduction	6,00,00,000

## Answer to Q. No. 2(a) 7 Marks

Ascertainment of tax liability of Beta Limited from slump sale of software unit

Particulars	` (in lacs)
Sale consideration for slump sale of Software Unit	700
Less: Cost of acquisition being the net worth of Software Unit	195
Long term capital gains arising on slump sale	<u>505</u>
(The capital gains is long-term as the Software Unit is held for	
more than 36 months)	5
Tax liability on LTCG	
Under section 112@20% on ` 505 lacs	101.00
Add: Surcharge@7%	7.07
	108.07
Add: Education cess@2% and SHEC@1%	4.32
	<u>112.39</u>

## Working Note: Computation of net warth of Software Unit

1

Particulars	` (in lacs)
(1) Book value of non-depreciable assets	
(i) Land (Revaluation not to be considered)	40
(ii) Debtors	110
(iii) Inventories	35
(2) Written down value of depreciable assets under section 43(6)	
	100
Aggregate value of tal assets	285
Less: Current liabilities of software unit	90
Net worth of offware unit	<u>195</u>

## Answer to Q. No. 2(b) 4 Marks

Computation of tax liability of Anuradha for the A.Y. 2019-20

Particulars	
Indian Income	6,00,000
Foreign Income	1,00,000
Gross Total Income	7,00,000
Less: Deduction under Chapter VI-A	
Under section 80C	

Depert in DPE	1 50 000	
Deposit in PPF	1,50,000	
Under section 80CCC	05.000	
Contribution to approved Pension Fund of LIC	25,000	
	1,75,000	
Under section 80CCE		
The aggregate deduction under section 80C,		4
80CCC and 80CCD(1) has to be restricted to	1,50,000	
` 1,50,000		
Under section 80D		
Medical insurance premium is allowable as		4
deduction under section 80D. Since she is a		
resident senior citizen, the deduction is allowable	32,000	
to a maximum of ` 50,000		
Medical insurance premium of ` 31,000 paid for		
mother aged 79 years. Since her mother is non- resident in India, she will not be entitled for the		
higher deduction of ` 30,000 eligible for a seni		
citizen, who is resident in India. Hence, the		
	25,000	2,07,000
` 25,000.	-,	, ,
Total Income		4,93,000
Tax on Total Income		
Income-tax	10,036	
Average rate of tax in India		
(i.e. `10,036/ `4,93,000 × 1002.04%		
Average rate of tax in foreign country 10%		
(i.e. `10,000/ `1,00,000 100)		
Rebate under sector 91 on ` 1,00,000 @		
2.04% (lower of average Indian-tax rate or	-	2,045
average foreign tax rate)		
Tax payabe in India (` 10,036 - ` 2,045)		7,991

## Answer to Q. No. 2(c) 3 Marks

The interest income received by Mr. Kumar, a non-resident, from a notified infrastructure debt fund would be subject to a concessional tax rate of 5% under section 115A on the gross amount of such interest income. Therefore, the tax liability of Mr. Kumar in respect of such income would be `20,800 (being 5% of `4 lakhs plus health and education cess@4%).

Under section 194LB, tax is deductible @5% (plus health and education cess@4%) on interest paid by such fund to a non-resident. However, since Mr.

9873827301

Kumar is a resident of a NJA, tax would be deductible@30% (plus health and education cess@4%) as per section 94A, and not @5% specified under section 194LB. This is on account of the provisions of section 94A(5), which provides that "Notwithstanding anything contained in any other provision of this Act, where a person located in a NJA is entitled to receive any sum or income or amount on which tax is deductible under Chapter XVII-B, the tax shall be deducted at the highest of the following rates, namely-

- a) at the rate or rates in force:
- b) at the rate specified in the relevant provision of the Act;

Mr. Kumar can, however, claim refund of excess tax deducted forg with interest.

## Answer to Q. No. 3(a) 6 Marks

Α.	Share of each of the Associates in the Value of the Order	3,00,000
	Share of BIL [Given]	1,00,000
	Share of PCI [Given]	90,000
	Share of NBR [Amount Retained = 3,00,004,00,000-90,000	1,10,000
В.	Share of each of the Associates in the Profit of the Order	1,00,000
	Combined Total Profits	40,000
	Share of BIL [Contribution of 40% 🖕 Otal Profit Euro 1,00,000	30,000
	Share of PCI [Contribution of 305 × Total Profit Euro 1,00,000	30,000
	Share of NBR [Contribution 250% × Total Profit Euro 1,00,000	
C.	Computation of Incremental Total Income of BIL	
	Total Cost to BIL Ltd	80,000
	Add: Share in the Protecto BIL (from B above)	40,000
	Revenue of Blacon the basis of Arm's Length Price	1,20,000
	Less: Revenue 🔊 tually received by BIL	1,00,000
	Increase in <b>Lova</b> Income of BIL	20,000

## Answer to Q. No. 3(b) 4 Marks

The Assessing Officer's power is restricted to examining whether the books of account *certified* by the authorities under the Companies Act, 1956 as having been properly maintained in accordance with the Companies Act, 1956. Thereafter, he only has the limited power of making additions and deductions as provided for in Explanation I below section 115JB.

(i) The Supreme Court in the case of Apollo Tyres Ltd. V CIT (2202) 255 ITR 273 held that the Assessing Officer does not have the jurisdiction to go behind the net profit shown in the audited and loss account except to the extent provided in Explanation I below section 115JB. Hence, no adjustment is required for prior period expenses which have to be shown separately in the profit and loss account as per AS in view of the specific requirement under section 115JB(2) read with section 211 of the Companies Act, 1956 [CIT v Khaitan Chemicals & Fertilizers Ltd (2208) 307 ITR 150 (Del)]. Further, prior period expense is not an item which can be adjusted in terms of any of the clauses covered in Explanation I below section 115JB. The action of the Assessing Officer is therefore not correct.

(ii) As per Schedule XIV to the Companies Act, 1956, depreciation has to be provided on pro rata basis in respect of additions made to any asset during the year. Accordingly, depreciation has to be calculated only for 90 days at the rate prescribed in Schedule XIV in respect of car parchased on 1.1.2019. Since depreciation for the whole year has been debited to profit and loss account, the excess depreciation has to be added back, since the same is not in accordance with the requirements of Schedule XIV to the Companies Act, 1956. The action of the Assessing officer is correct.

## Answer to Q. No. 3(c) 2 Marks each

- (i) By the above arrangement, the tax payer has obtained a tax benefit and created rights or obligations which are not ordinarily created between persons dealing at arm's length. Since transactions of purchase and sale of shares of a closely held companyer a price other than the fair market value are covered under section 56 of the Act, GAAR may not be invoked as section 56, being SAAR, is applicable, However, if SAAR is not applicable considering the traited scope of section 56 to the shares of closely held companies only then GAAR may be invoked.
- (ii) The above facts reflective following possibilities:
  - A. Z Ltde misrepresents the facts by showing on paper that everything is done outside India and therefore, noting is taxable in India. This would be case of tax evasion and not OAAR; OR



Z Ltd. Represents that certain operations relating to A, its subsidiary, are carried out in India but it is not table under the relevant DTAA as these operations do not constitute a permanent establishment (PE) in India. This is not a case of tax avoidance but of determination of facts to ascertain whether there is a PE or not.

Again, the investigation should reveal if it is a case of correct reporting of facts or a mis-representation. If the latter, it would be tax evasion. Further, if any activity is being carried out by Z Ltd for A Ltd, then Z Ltd is required to be compensated at arm's length price which would be covered by specific anti-avoidance rules. Hence, it is not a fit case for invoking GAAR

## Answer to Q. No. 4(a) 7 Marks

Computation of Total income of Massy incorpo	orated for c	assessment	year 2019-
P & G under the head Business & Profession	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Business in India:			
Sale	30,00,000		4
Less: Expenses under sections 28 to 44C	28,00,000	2,00,000	
Royalty	3,00,000		
Less: Expenses	Not	3,00,000	5,00,000
	allowed		7
P & G under the head Business & Profession:			
Income under the head capital gain		1	
Short-term capital gain referred to in section		2,40,000	
1111-A	C.		
Long-term capital gain from slae of shares	6,00,000		
sold through recognized stock exchange			
LESS; Cost of acquisition (FMV on 31.1.2018	<b>49</b> 0,000	1,90,000	4,30,000
Income from other sources:	∽		
Interest on Ioan	11,00,000		
Less; Expenses	Not	11,00,000	
	allowed		
Interest on loan in Indian currency	4,00,000		
Less; Collection charges 💦 🔊	5,000	3,95,000	
Income from units		Exempt	14,95,000
Gross total income			24,25,000
Less: Deduction under section 80G			
(limited to royalty income, business income &			8,95,000
interest income from loan given in Indian			
currency included in G.T.I. It is not allowed			
from interest on oan)			
Taxable income			15,30,000
Tax on long-term capital gain exceeding Rs.			9,000
1,00,000 .e. on Rs. 90,000 @ 10%			
Tax 💏 Rs. 2,40,000 @ 15%			36,000
Jaxon 11,00,000 @ 20%			2,20,000
Md: Surcharge (as the total income does not			Nil
exceed Rs. 1crore)			
			2,65,000
Add: H&EC@ 4%			10,600
Total tax			2,75,600
Case B:			

9873827301

G.T.I. as computed above	23,25,000
Less: Deduction under section 80G	7,95,000
Total income	15,30,000
Tax on Rs. 2,40,000 @15%	36,000
Tax on long-term capital gain Rs. 90,000@ 10%	9,000
Tax on 11,00,000 @ 20%	2,20,000
Tax on Rs. 1,00,000 @ 10%	10,000
(royalty income	
	2,75,000
Add: Surcharge)	
	2,75,000
Add: H&EC @ 4%	11,000
Tax Payable	2,86,000

If we claim deduction under section 80G first from royal income and then from other income, the other income of Rs. 1,00,000 would have been taxable @ 40%

Not- Although, surcharge in case of a foreign contrary is 2%/5% but it is leviable only if its total income exceeds Rs. 1 crore or 10 crores as the case may be

## Answer to Q. No. 4(b) 4 Marks

- (i) As per section 153A, the notice for post search assessment can be issued for Assessment Year 2013-1440 2018-19.
- (ii) As per section 153A, the sending assessments for Assessment Year 2016-17 and 2017-18 and pending reassessment for Assessment Year 2015-16 shall abate
- (iii) If the post perch assessment orders are annulled by the Income Tax Appellater Tribunal (ITAT), than the abated assessments and reassessments shall revive. The revival shall take place from the date the order of ITAT is received by the Commissioner of Income-Tax.

## Answer Q. No. 4(c) 3 Marks

Praced is deemed to have under-reported his income since he has not filed has return of income and his assessed income exceeds the basic the exemption limit of Rs. 2,50,000. Hence, penalty under section 270A is leviable in his case

Computation of penalty leviable under section 270A		
Particulars	Rs.	Rs.
Total income assessed	14,00,00	
(-) Basic exemption limit	2,50,000	
Under-reported income:		11,50,000

Tax payable on total income assessed	2,32,500	
Add: Health & Education cess @ 4%	9,300	
Total tax payable		2,,41,800
Penalty leviable @ 50% of tax payable		1,20,900

#### Answer to Q. No. 5(a) 2 Marks each

(i) Commission paid to doctors for referring the patients not to be allowed as deduction: Vide CBDT Circular No. 5/2012 dated 1.8.2012, it has been stated that the Indian Medical Council had imposed a prohibition on medical practitioners taking any freebies and hence, expenditure incurred in providing such freebies is to be regarded as incurred "for a purpose which is either an offence or prohibited by law" and disallowed under Explanation to section 37(1). This was upheld by the Punjab & Haryana High Court in the case of CIT v Kap Scan & Diagnostic Centre Pvt. Ltd. (2012) 344 ITR 476 (P&H) wherein commission given to the private doctors for referring the patients for various medical tests was treated to be a trade practice which could not be termed to be illegal and therefore, the same was disallowed under section 37(1).

Applying the rationale and considering the purpose of Explanation to section 37(1), the assessee would not be entitled to deduction of payment made in contravention of law. Similarly, payments which are opposed to public policy being in the nature of unlawful consideration cannot also be claimed as deduction. The assessee cannot take a plea that business men are entitled to conduct their business even contrary to law and claim deduction of certain payments are illegal or opposed to public policy or have penicious consequences to the society as a whole. Thus action of the A. Quin disallowing the referral fee paid by XYZ co. to doctors is correct. The fact that the tax has been deducted at source u/s 194H is of no compliance

(ii) As per section 194J, any person, who is responsible for paying to a resident, any sum by way of fees for professional services, or fees for technical services or royalty or non-compete fees referred to in section 23(va) is required to deduct tax at source

The obligation to deduct tax under section 194J arises whether if the noncompete fee is payable in cash or kind as section 28(va) states that noncompete fee whether paid in cash or kind shall be taxable. If it is paid in kind then it will be the responsibility of the payer to recover the amount of tax deductible from the recipient of the non-compete fee in kind

Therefore, the Assessing officer is correct in disallowing expenditure on account of non-complete fee under section 40(a)(ia) but it will be

disallowed to the extent of 30% of such expenditure. Further, he can, levy penalty u/s 271C and charge interest under section 201(1A).

(iii) The facts of the above case are similar to facts in the case of CIT v Yamaha Motor India Pvt. Ltd. (2010) 328 ITR 297, wherein the Delhi High Court observed that the expression "used for the purpose of the business "in section 32 when used with respect to discarded machinery would mean the use in the business, not only in the relevant financial year/previous year, but also in the earlier financial years The discarded machinery may not be actually used in the relevant previous year but depreciation can be claimed as long as it was used for the purposes of business in the earlier years provided the block continues to exist in the relevant previous year. Therefore, the condition for claiming depreciation in respect of the discarded machine would be satisfied if it was used in the earlier previous years for the business

Further, for the purpose of section 43(6), "moneys payable" means the sale price, in case of sale, or insurance, salvage or compensation in respect of the asset. In this case, the machinery has not been sold as machinery or scrap or disposed off, and it continues to exist. Hence, there is no "moneys payable" in this case, which alone is deductible while computing the WDV of the block to which it belongs

Applying the rationale of the above case and the provisions of section 43(6), the action of the Assessing Officer in disallowing Rs. 4 lakhs, being the depreciation claim attributable to discarded machinery, on the ground that the same was not put to use in the relevant previous year, is invalid, since the said machinery was put to use in the earlier previous years.

## Answer to Q. No. 5(b) 2 Marks each

- (i) The dividend income earned by 'A' Ltd. on the shares held as investment is exempt under the provisions of section 10(34). As per section 14A, no expenditure is allowable in respect of income which does not form part of total income. The interest paid on borrowed capital is an expenditure incurred in respect of shares purchased for investment. Since the dividend income received on shares is exempt and does not form part of total income of 'A' Ltd, the interest expenditure is not allowable as deduction
- (ii) Fransaction in derivatives, in which underlying asset is shares, is exempt from the purview of the speculative transaction, in terms of clause (d) of the proviso to section 43(5). As per the said clause, an eligible transaction in respect of trading in derivatives carried out in a recognized stock exchange shall not be deemed to be a speculative transaction. Accordingly, in the given case, if the transaction falls within the meaning "eligible transaction' as defined in the Explanation there in, then the loss would be treated as an ordinary business loss eligible for set-off loss as per normal set-off provisions. On the other hand, if the transaction does not

fall within the meaning of "eligible transaction", the loss would be treated as a speculation loss and can be set-off only against speculative income

#### Answer to Q. No. 5(c) 4 Marks

[Hindustan Aeronautics Ltd v. CIT (2000) 243 ITR 898 (SC)] Section 264 provides that the Principal Commissioner or Commissioner has no power to revise any order which has been made the subjects matter of an appeal to the Commissioner (Appeals), even if the relief claimed in the petition is different from the relief claimed in appeal. The concept of total merger would apply in the case of section 264.

Section 154 provides that where nay matter had been considered and decided in any proceeding by eay of appeal or revision relating to an order, Assessing Officer may amend the order for rectification of mistake apparent from the record, in relation to a matter other than the matter which has been considered and decided. The concept of partial merger would apply in the case of section 154.

In the present case, since the order passed by the Assessing Officer in respect of the addition of unexplained cash credit of Rs. 8 lakhs became the subject matter of an appeal to the Commissioner (Appeals), the assessee, AUM Enterprise cannot apply for revision under section 264 even if the subject matter of revision i.e., addition of Rs. 3 lakhs under section 40(a)(ia) is different from the subject matter of appeal.

However, AUM Enterprise can apply to the Assessing Officer for rectification of the order in respect of addition of Rs. 3 lakh under section 40(a)(ia), as the matter has not been considered and decided in any proceeding by way of appeal or revision. In the view of above, the assessee, AUM Enterprise should seek rectification under section 154.

## Answer to Q. No. 6(a) 74 Marks

Short-term capital gain on depreciable assets:	Rs.	Rs.	
(i) Plant & Machinery (Rs. 30,00,000-21,00,000)	9,00,000		
(ii) Buildings (Rs. 40,00,000-12,00,000)	28,00,000		
(iii) Furthure & Fixture (Rs. 60,000-50,000)	10,000	37,10,000	
Long torn capital gain on industrial land:			
Sale Consideration	38,00,000		
Cost of acquisition – Rs. 10,90,000×280/100	30,52,000	7,48,000	
Total Capital gain		44,58,000	
Less: Exemption under section 54G			
(Rs. 17,00,000+31,60,000) but restricted to Rs. 44,48,000		44,48,000	
Short-term capital gain (on furniture)		10,000	
Capital gain furniture and fixture is not eligible for exemption under section			
54G.			

#### Answer to Q. No. 6(b) 3 Marks

Computation of total income and tax payable by R for the assessment year 2019-20

		KS.	KS
Income under head "Salaries"			
Gross salary		5,00,000	4
Less: Standard deduction		40,000	4,60,000
Long-term capital gain			3
(a) From shares			<b>&gt;</b>
Sold through recognised stock exchange			
Selling price		4,00,000	
Cost of acquisition (see Note below)		2,70,000	
		1,30,000	
Long-term capital gain/loss from gold	CY		
ornaments:			
Consideration Price	<b>550</b> ,000		
Less: Indexed cost of acquisition – Rs	5,60,000	(-)	1,20,000
2,00,000×280/100		10,000	
Total Income 🧄 🧑			5,80,000
Tax on Rs. 5,80,000			
LTCG u/s 112A in excess of Rs. 1,00,0000. 10% of			2,000
Rs. 20,000			
Tax on balance total income Rs. 460,000			10,500
			12,500
Add: Health and Education Sess @ 4%			500
Tax Payable			13,000

Determination of cost of acquisition Higher of the following:

- (i) Cost of acquisition i.e. Rs. 40,000 OR
- (ii) Lower of the following:
  - a) FMV on 31.1.2018. i.e. Rs. 2,70,000
  - b) Sale price of the shares i.e. Rs. 4,00,000



If any expenditure is incurred by an assessee in any financial year in respect of which he is not able to offer explanation about the source of such expenditure or the explanation offered by him is not satisfactory in the opinion of the Assessing Officer, then the amount of such unexplained expenditure may be deemed as income of the assessee for such financial year as per section 69C. Therefore, in this case, the expenditure of Rs. 20 lakhs incurred by Mr. X on the

wedding of his daughter may be deemed as income of Mr. X as per section 69C. Further, such unexplained expenditure which is deemed as the income of Mr. X shall not be allowed as deduction under any head of income Where the total income of Mr. X includes such unexplained expenditure of Rs. 20 lakhs, which is deemed as his income under section 69C, such deemed income would be taxed at the maximum marginal rate of 30% as per section 115BBE (plus education cess@ 2% and secondary and higher education cess @ 1%) Further, no basic exemption or allowance or expenditure shall be allowed to Mr. X under any provision of the Income-tax Act, 1961 in computing such deemed income. Therefore, penalty under section 271(1)(c) shall be levied for concealment of income

#### Answer to Q. No. 6(d) 2 Marks

The facts of case given in the question are similar to the case CIT v Madhukant M. Mehta (2001) 247 ITR 805, where the Supreme Court has held that if the business is succeed by inheritance, the legal heirs are entitled to the benefit of carry forward of the loss of the predecessor. Even if the legal heirs constitute themselves as a partnership firm, the benefit of carry forward and set off the loss of the predecessor would be available to the firm.

